

Docket No: 286785US6PCT

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 10/572,580 First Named Applicant: Koujiro TANAKAExaminer: H. Shubru Art Unit: 2621 Status of Application: Non-final**Tentative Participants:**(1) H. Shubru (2) T. Tran(3) Brian Epstein (4) \_\_\_\_\_Proposed Date of Interview: 26 October 2010Proposed Time: 11 AM

(1) [ ] Telephonic (2) [X] Personal (3) [ ] Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [ ] YES [X] NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Issues To Be Discussed**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/Fig. #s	Applied References	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej.	1	Rebaud et al., Yamamoto et al.	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(2) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(3) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(4) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

[X] Continuation Sheet Attached

**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

No proper combination of Rebaud et al. and Yamamoto et al. discloses or suggests "a first source ID list that indicates providing sources of contents whose reproduction is permitted; . . . and a title list production section that produces a list of title information . . . such that the title information of one of the contents decided to be non-reproducible . . . based on the source ID applied to the one of the contents and said first source ID list, can be distinguished," as recited in proposed amended Claim 1. Yamamoto et al. merely describe displaying grayed out buttons for corresponding playback content that is not playable. Yamamoto et al., para. [0112]. Yamamoto et al. show in Figure 14 content playability judgment processing. Id. para. [0143].

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

**NOTE:**

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTNOT TO BE ENTERED

1. (Currently Amended) A content processing apparatus, comprising:

a first source ID list ~~indicating that indicates~~ providing sources of contents whose reproduction is permitted;

a content reproduction section ~~for reproducing that reproduces the~~ contents stored in a storage medium;

a reproduction permission/inhibition decision section ~~for deciding that decides~~ whether or not each of the contents is reproducible based on a source ID applied to the content and said first source ID list; and

a title list production section ~~for producing that produces~~ a list of title information of the contents ~~[[in]] such a manner that the title information of these one of the contents which are~~ decided to be non-reproducible by said reproduction permission/inhibition decision section based on the source ID applied to the one of the contents and said first source ID list, can be distinguished.